

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 22, 2001 LR 1

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, let me...

SENATOR STUHR: And so that's what we are trying to do now, is just to remove that...

SENATOR BEUTLER: So your expectation is...

SENATOR STUHR: ...because in 1924 the Supreme Court said this is really unconstitutional; we can't do that.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Your expectation is that all schools will teach in the English language.

SENATOR STUHR: Yes. I...yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Let me...

SENATOR STUHR: Um-hum.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...return then to Senator Raikes.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Raikes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: That...that being the expectation, Senator, let me ask you if you believe the language that the committee put into the bill effectuates that purpose, because that language says that such language, the English language, shall be used...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...in the public schools. Does that mean used some of the time, used all of the time, used as the primary textbook, the teacher has to speak in English? To what extent does the language have to be used in the public schools? I can see a court saying as long as you're doing some in English that's okay, because the Legislature didn't say you would use it to teach all courses. The prior language indicated that the common school branches, which is antiquated language but which I assume means the various courses that are taught in the schools,